



*Mathematical Control Theory,
with a special session in honor of Gianna Stefani
Porquerolles, France, June 27th-30th 2017*

A Hamiltonian approach to sufficiency in OC

Parametric LQ Problems

Pierluigi Zezza: a joint work with Gianna Stefani

Dipartimento di Scienze per l'Economia e l'Industria, Università di Firenze - Italia

*Mathematical Control Theory,
with a special session in honor of Gianna Stefani
Porquerolles, France, June 27th-30th 2017*

IBM Selectric typewriter







Figure : A technological breakthrough

"Golf Balls"



Figure : Changing fonts

-  A. A. Agrachev, G. Stefani, and P. Z.
An invariant second variation in optimal control.
Internat. J. Control, 71(5):689–715, 1998.
-  A. A. Agrachev, G. Stefani, and P. Z.
Strong minima in optimal control.
Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, 220(Optim. Upr., Differ. Uravn. i Gladk. Optim.):8–26, 1998.
-  A. A. Agrachev, G. Stefani, and P. Z.
A Hamiltonian approach to strong minima in optimal control.
In *Differential geometry and control (Boulder, CO, 1997)*,
volume 64 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 11–22. Amer.
Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
-  A. A. Agrachev, G. Stefani, and P. Z.
Strong optimality for a bang-bang trajectory.
SIAM J. Control Optim., 41(4):991–1014 (electronic), 2002.

The goal of this project is to propose a unified Hamiltonian approach to sufficient optimality conditions for OCPs whose state evolves on a manifold M .

- To use the symplectic properties of the cotangent bundle to compare the costs of neighbouring admissible trajectories by lifting them to the cotangent bundle.

The goal of this project is to propose a unified Hamiltonian approach to sufficient optimality conditions for OCPs whose state evolves on a manifold M .

- To use the symplectic properties of the cotangent bundle to compare the costs of neighbouring admissible trajectories by lifting them to the cotangent bundle.
- To define in the cotangent bundle T^*M a suitable Hamiltonian flow \mathcal{H}_t emanating from a horizontal Lagrangian submanifold Λ . This flow is the one of the maximised Hamiltonian when this last is at least C^2 .

- To estimate the variation of the cost of admissible trajectories by the variation of a function of their final points and, if it is the case, their final times.

- To estimate the variation of the cost of admissible trajectories by the variation of a function of their final points and, if it is the case, their final times.
- To obtain a suitable second order approximation (2^{nd} *variation*) in the form of a coordinate-free Linear-Quadratic (LQ) problem and to require its coercivity.

- To estimate the variation of the cost of admissible trajectories by the variation of a function of their final points and, if it is the case, their final times.
- To obtain a suitable second order approximation (2^{nd} variation) in the form of a coordinate-free Linear-Quadratic (LQ) problem and to require its coercivity.
- To show that \mathcal{H}_{t^*} (the derivative of \mathcal{H}_t along the reference extremal) is, up to an isomorphism, the linear Hamiltonian flow associated to the LQ problem.

- To use the coercivity of the 2^{nd} variation to substitute the manifold described by the transversality conditions, Λ_0 , by an horizontal one Λ . This can be obtained by adding a penalty term which reduces the problem to a problem with free initial point and whose 2^{nd} variation is still coercive.

- To use the coercivity of the 2^{nd} variation to substitute the manifold described by the transversality conditions, Λ_0 , by an horizontal one Λ . This can be obtained by adding a penalty term which reduces the problem to a problem with free initial point and whose 2^{nd} variation is still coercive.
- To deduce for a problem with free initial point and fixed final point that the projection on M of \mathcal{H}_t emanating from Λ is locally invertible so that we can go back to the first issue and we can compare the costs of neighbouring admissible trajectories by lifting them to the cotangent bundle.

- To use the coercivity of the 2^{nd} variation to substitute the manifold described by the transversality conditions, Λ_0 , by an horizontal one Λ . This can be obtained by adding a penalty term which reduces the problem to a problem with free initial point and whose 2^{nd} variation is still coercive.
- To deduce for a problem with free initial point and fixed final point that the projection on M of \mathcal{H}_t emanating from Λ is locally invertible so that we can go back to the first issue and we can compare the costs of neighbouring admissible trajectories by lifting them to the cotangent bundle.
- To use again the coercivity of the 2^{nd} variation to complete the proof for the general case.



G. Stefani, and P. Z.

A Hamiltonian approach to sufficiency in optimal control with minimal regularity conditions: Part I

in Variational Methods in Imaging and Geometric Control,
496–516, De Gruyter, 2017

A Non-Linear OC Problem

- M is a finite dimensional manifold
- X_1, \dots, X_m are smooth vector fields on M
- $[0, T] \in \mathbb{R}$
- $x_0 \in M$
- $\Delta = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : u_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m, \sum_{i=1}^m u_i = 1\}$

A Non-Linear OC Problem

An OC problem (fixed-free)

Minimize $c(\xi(T))$

subject to

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m v(t) X_i(\xi(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T]$$

$$v \in L^\infty([a, b], \Delta)$$

$$\xi(0) = x_0, \quad \xi(T) \in M$$

A Non-Linear OC Problem

We assume there exist times $\hat{\tau}_1, \hat{\tau}_2$, $0 =: \hat{\tau}_0 < \hat{\tau}_1 < \hat{\tau}_2 < \hat{\tau}_3 := b$, vector fields $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$, and a measurable function $\hat{v} \in L^\infty([\hat{\tau}_2, T], (0, 1))$ such that the solution $\hat{\xi}$ to

bang-bang-singular

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\xi}(t) &= h_1(\xi(t)) & t \in (0, \hat{\tau}_1) \\ \dot{\xi}(t) &= h_2(\xi(t)) & t \in (\hat{\tau}_1, \hat{\tau}_2) \\ \dot{\xi}(t) &= \hat{v}(t)h_3(\xi(t)) + (1 - \hat{v}(t))h_2(\xi(t)) & t \in (\hat{\tau}_2, T)\end{aligned}$$

is admissible and it satisfies Pontryagin Maximum Principle (PMP).

The Extended Second Variation

The extended second variation is a L-Q problem on $[\hat{\tau}_2, T]$.

The Extended Second Variation

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{ext}}[(\varepsilon_0, \delta x, \varepsilon_1, v)]^2 &= \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{2} \left(L_k^2 c(\hat{x}_b) + H_{12}(\hat{\ell}_1) \right) - \varepsilon_1 L_{\delta x} L_{f_1} c(\hat{x}_b) - \frac{\varepsilon_1^2}{2} L_{f_1}^2 c(\hat{x}_b) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \left\{ 2v(t) L_{\zeta(t)} L_{\dot{g}_t} c(\hat{x}_b) + v(t)^2 R(t) \right\} dt \end{aligned}$$

The Extended Second Variation

subject to

The control equation

$$\dot{\zeta}(t) = v(t) \dot{g}_t(\hat{x}_b)$$

with end-point conditions

$$\zeta(a) = \varepsilon_0 k(\hat{x}_b), \quad \zeta(b) = \delta x + \varepsilon_1 f_1(\hat{x}_b)$$

A source of inspiration

G. STEFANI AND P. Z., *Constrained Regular LQ-Control Problems*, SIAM J. Control 1997

Parametric L-Q problems

On a given compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ we consider the following parametric linear control system

The control equation

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\xi}(t) &= B(t)v(t), \quad t \in [a, b] \\ \xi(a) &= N_a \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(b) = N_b \alpha,\end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k$

Parametric L-Q problems

with associated quadratic form

The quadratic cost

$$J[(\alpha, v)]^2 := \frac{1}{2} \Gamma[\alpha]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \{2 \langle Q(s) \xi(s), v(s) \rangle + R(s)[v(s)]^2\} ds$$

Parametric L-Q problems

The control system plays the role of a constraint and hence the quadratic form J is defined on

Admissible couples

$$\mathcal{V} := \left\{ (\alpha, v) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times L^2([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m) \mid \text{the system has a solution} \right\}$$

Parametric L-Q problems

It is easy to see that $(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V}$ if and only if

Admissibility

$$\int_a^b B(s)v(s)ds + (N_a - N_b)\alpha = 0,$$

Parametric L-Q problems

therefore if we define

$$\Sigma : (\alpha, v) \mapsto \int_a^b B(s)v(s)ds + (N_a - N_b)\alpha,$$

Then the domain of J is the Hilbert space

The Hilbert Space

$$\mathcal{V} = \ker \Sigma$$

Strengthened Legendre-Clebsch Condition

Our main assumption is the **Strengthened Legendre-Clebsch Condition (SLCC)**

Assumption

there is $h_0 > 0$ such that $\langle R(t)u, u \rangle \geq h_0 \|u\|^2$, $t \in [a, b]$

Preliminary Analysis

Different values of the parameter α might yield a zero boundary conditions on both end-points

$$W_0 := \ker N_a \cap \ker N_b$$

Preliminary Analysis

Different values of the parameter α might yield a zero boundary conditions on both end-points

$$W_0 := \ker N_a \cap \ker N_b$$

and if we take the zero control then the couples in

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \{(\alpha, 0) \mid \alpha \in W_0\}$$

are admissible since $\Sigma(\alpha, 0) = 0$ for $(\alpha, 0) \in \mathcal{W}_0$

A Necessary Condition

A first necessary condition for $J > 0$ ($J \geq 0$) is

A Necessary Condition

$$J|_{\mathcal{W}_0} > 0 \quad (J|_{\mathcal{W}_0} \geq 0)$$

A Necessary Condition

A first necessary condition for $J > 0$ ($J \geq 0$) is

A Necessary Condition

$$J|_{\mathcal{W}_0} > 0 \quad (J|_{\mathcal{W}_0} \geq 0)$$

This is a finite dimensional condition since

A Necessary Condition

$$J|_{\mathcal{W}_0} > 0 \quad (J|_{\mathcal{W}_0} \geq 0) \text{ iff } \Gamma|_{\mathcal{W}_0} > 0 \quad (\Gamma|_{\mathcal{W}_0} \geq 0)$$

The finite dimensional part

Denote by

$$Z_0 := \ker(\Gamma|_{W_0}) = \{\alpha \in W_0 \mid \alpha \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-orthogonal to } W_0\}$$

Z_0 is the subspace of the Γ -transversal of W_0 .

- The elements in $(\alpha, 0), \alpha \in Z_0$ are couples (parameter, zero control) that not only generate a zero trajectory but, moreover, they have zero integral cost and zero parameter cost.

Preliminary Analysis

Decompose W_0 as

$$W_0 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1$$

where Z_0 and Z_1 are mutually Γ -orthogonal and $\Gamma|_{Z_0} = 0$, $\Gamma|_{Z_1} > 0$.

Preliminary Analysis

Decompose W_0 as

$$W_0 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1$$

where Z_0 and Z_1 are mutually Γ -orthogonal and $\Gamma|_{Z_0} = 0$, $\Gamma|_{Z_1} > 0$.

- For J to be positive we must have that

A Necessary Condition for $J > 0$

$$Z_0 = \{0\}$$

Preliminary Analysis

We can decompose \mathbb{R}^k as

$$\mathbb{R}^k = W_0 \oplus W_1$$

and they are Γ -orthogonal to each other and if we define

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \{(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V} \mid \alpha \in W_1\}$$

Decoupling

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}_0 \oplus \mathcal{W}_1$$

The Decoupling

For

$$(\alpha, v) = (\alpha_0, 0) + (\alpha_1, v), \text{ with } (\alpha_0, 0) \in \mathcal{W}_0, (\alpha_1, v) \in \mathcal{W}_1.$$

The Decoupling

$$J[(\alpha, v)]^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma[\alpha_0]^2 + J[(\alpha_1, v)]^2, \alpha_0 \in W_0, \alpha_1 \in W_1.$$

As a consequence $J|_{\mathcal{W}_0} = \Gamma|_{W_0}$ is a finite dimensional form which can be decoupled and studied separately.

Decoupling

The finite dimensional part can be examined separately hence we assume that

Decoupling

The finite dimensional part can be examined separately hence we assume that

Assumption

$$W_0 := \ker N_a \cap \ker N_b = \{0\}$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}_1.$$

Preliminary Analysis

If we denote by

$$N : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} N_a \alpha \\ N_b \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

if $W_0 = \{0\}$ then N admits a left inverse N^\sharp hence

$$N\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta(a) \\ \zeta(b) \end{pmatrix}$$

can be equivalently written as

Preliminary Analysis

If we denote by

$$N : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} N_a \alpha \\ N_b \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

if $W_0 = \{0\}$ then N admits a left inverse $N^\#$ hence

$$N\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta(a) \\ \zeta(b) \end{pmatrix}$$

can be equivalently written as

Boundary Conditions and the Parameter α

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta(a) \\ \zeta(b) \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Im } N \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = N^\# \begin{pmatrix} \zeta(a) \\ \zeta(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

A Necessary Condition

There could also be constant solutions

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \{(\alpha, 0) \mid N_a \alpha = N_b \alpha\} \simeq V_0 := \ker(N_a - N_b).$$

A second necessary condition for $J > 0$ ($J \geq 0$) is

A Necessary Condition

$$J|_{\mathcal{V}_0} > 0 \quad (J|_{\mathcal{V}_0} \geq 0)$$

A Necessary Condition

There could also be constant solutions

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \{(\alpha, 0) \mid N_a \alpha = N_b \alpha\} \simeq V_0 := \ker(N_a - N_b).$$

A second necessary condition for $J > 0$ ($J \geq 0$) is

A Necessary Condition

$$J|_{\mathcal{V}_0} > 0 \quad (J|_{\mathcal{V}_0} \geq 0)$$

This is a finite dimensional condition since

A Necessary Condition

$$J|_{\mathcal{V}_0} > 0 \quad (J|_{\mathcal{V}_0} \geq 0) \text{ iff } \Gamma|_{V_0} > 0 \quad (\Gamma|_{V_0} \geq 0).$$

A family of subspaces

For $c \in [0, 1]$ we consider a **continuous** family of closed nested subspaces $\mathcal{V}_c \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ such that \mathcal{V}_0 is finite dimensional and $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}$ and the associated family of functionals

$$J_c := J|_{\mathcal{V}_c}, \quad c \in [0, 1]$$

A family of subspaces

For $c \in [0, 1]$ we consider a **continuous** family of closed nested subspaces $\mathcal{V}_c \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ such that \mathcal{V}_0 is finite dimensional and $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}$ and the associated family of functionals

$$J_c := J|_{\mathcal{V}_c}, \quad c \in [0, 1]$$

and we recall that

Definition

For $c \in [0, 1]$, a couple $(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V}_c$ is a critical point for J_c if

$$DJ_c((\alpha, v), \cdot) \equiv 0, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{V}_c.$$

We can now formulate two theorems which can be deduced from the seminal paper by M. Hestenes, Pacific Journal 1951

Theorem

The quadratic form J is positive definite if and only if J_0 is positive definite and for every $c \in (0, 1]$ there is no critical point for J_c .

We can now formulate two theorems which can be deduced from the seminal paper by M. Hestenes, Pacific Journal 1951

Theorem

The quadratic form J is positive definite if and only if J_0 is positive definite and for every $c \in (0, 1]$ there is no critical point for J_c .

Theorem

*The quadratic form J is positive semi-definite if and only if J_0 is positive semi-definite and for every $c \in [0, 1)$ there is no critical point for J_c **which is not a critical point also for J .***

M. Hestenes's Notes

Since y satisfies equations (12.2), we have $J(y, \dot{y}) = 0$. Hence,

(12.8) $J(x) = \int_a^b r y^2 \dot{u}^2 dt \geq 0,$

the equality holding only in case $\dot{u} = 0$ on $a \leq t \leq b$. Since $u(a) = 0$, we have $x = 0$. This proves the theorem.

THEOREM 12.4. Suppose that $r(t) > 0$ on $a \leq t \leq b$ and let

$y:$ $y(t)$ $(a \leq t \leq b)$

be a nonnull solution of the Euler equations (12.8) having $y(a) = 0$ and

$y(t) \neq 0$ on $a < t < b$. If $y(b) \neq 0$, then $J(x) > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$

in \mathcal{Q} . If $y(b) = 0$, then $J(x) \geq 0$ for all x in \mathcal{Q} , the equality holding if and only if x is a scalar multiple of y .

We can suppose that $y(t) > 0$ $a < t < b$. Suppose that $y(b) \neq 0$ and let z be a second solution of (12.8) having $z(a) = 1$. By continuity there is a positive number α such that

$$y(t) + \alpha z(t) > 0 \quad a \leq t \leq b.$$

A family of subspaces

For $c \in [0, 1]$ fix $t_0 \in [a, b]$ and consider a nested increasing family of closed intervals such that that

$$\mathbf{1} \quad I_0 = \{t_0\}, \quad I_1 = [a, b]$$

A family of subspaces

We can now define a family of subspaces $\mathcal{V}_c \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, $c \in [0, 1]$, as

$$\mathcal{V}_c = \{(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V} : v(t) \equiv 0 \text{ for } t \in [a, b] \setminus I_c\}.$$

If we denote by $\Sigma_c := \Sigma|_{\mathcal{V}_c}$ then

$$\mathcal{V}_c = \ker \Sigma_c$$

we have that $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}$ and

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \{(\alpha, 0) \in \mathcal{V} \mid N_a \alpha = N_b \alpha\}$$

A family of subspaces

The subspace $\text{Im } \Sigma_c$ plays the role of the reachable set for the control system therefore we can give the following

Definition

For $c \in [0, 1]$ we say that the control system is c -controllable if

$$\text{Im } \Sigma_c = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This definition reduces to the known ones in the classical cases.

A family of subspaces

We can also characterize the vectors $\sigma \in \text{Im } \Sigma_c^\perp$

Lemma

$\sigma \in \text{Im } \Sigma_c^\perp$ if and only if

$$\sigma B(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a_c, b_c], \quad \sigma(N_a - N_b) = 0.$$

The Hamiltonian

The minimized Hamiltonian associated with our L-Q optimization problem is

$$H_t : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is given by

$$H_t(p, q) := -\frac{1}{2}R^{-1}(t) [(pB(t) + Q(t)q)]^2$$

The Hamiltonian

The associated Hamiltonian system in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is

The Jacobi system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\lambda}(t) \\ \dot{\zeta}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \vec{H}_t(\lambda(t), \zeta(t))$$

The Hamiltonian

The *optimal control* obtained from (λ, ζ) as

The Feedback

$$v(t) := -R^{-1}(t)(\lambda(t)B(t) + Q(t)\zeta(t))$$

will be referred to as the **feedback control**.

c-Transversal Extremal

c-Transversal Extremal

For $c \in [0, 1]$ we will call **c-transversal extremal** a solution of the Jacobi system satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta(a_c) \\ \zeta(b_c) \end{pmatrix} &\in \text{Im} \begin{pmatrix} N_a \\ N_b \end{pmatrix} \\ \Gamma N^\# \begin{pmatrix} \zeta(a_c) \\ \zeta(b_c) \end{pmatrix} &= -\lambda(a_c)N_a + \lambda(b_c)N_b, \end{aligned}$$

c-Transversal Extremal

For $c \in [0, 1]$ if $\sigma \in \text{Im } \Sigma_c^\perp$ then $(\sigma, 0)$ is a c -transversal extremal. These extremals are the only ones with a zero state component

Lemma

If (λ, ζ) is a c -transversal extremal such that $\zeta(t) = 0, t \in [a_c, b_c]$ then $(\lambda, \zeta) = (\sigma, 0)$ with $\sigma \in \text{Im } \Sigma_c^\perp$.

c-Transversal Extremal

This last Lemma motivates introducing the following

An equivalence relation

For $c \in [0, 1]$ we say that two c -transversal extremals (λ_1, ζ_1) and (λ_2, ζ_2) are equivalent if and only if

$$(\lambda_1, \zeta_1) - (\lambda_2, \zeta_2) = (\sigma, 0), \quad \sigma \in \text{Im } \Sigma_c^\perp$$

c-Transversal Class

This equivalence relation allows us to define

Definition

For $c \in [0, 1]$ we will call **c-transversal class** an equivalence class Θ_c of c -transversal extremals.

The c -transversal class will be said **non trivial** if $\zeta \neq 0$ on I_c .

The only trivial c -transversal class is the one containing the trivial couple $(0, 0) \in \mathcal{V}$.

A c -transversal class is a linear manifold of dimension $\dim \text{Im } \Sigma_c^\perp$ and then it reduces to a singleton if and only if Σ_c is onto

c-Transversal Class

The next Lemma states that each element of the same class identifies the same admissible $(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V}$.

Lemma

For a given $c \in [0, 1]$ every $(\lambda, \zeta) \in \Theta_c$ identifies the same $(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V}_c$ through

$$\alpha = N^\# \begin{pmatrix} \zeta(a_c) \\ \zeta(b_c) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$v(t) = \begin{cases} -R^{-1}(t)(\lambda(t)B(t) + Q(t)\zeta(t)) & t \in I_c \\ 0 & t \in I \setminus I_c \end{cases}$$

hence $v(t)$ is the feedback control on I_c and **zero** outside

c-Transversal Class

Also the converse is true

Lemma

For $c \in [0, 1]$ if there are two c -transversal extremals (λ_1, ζ_1) and (λ_2, ζ_2) which identify the same $(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V}_c$ then they belong to the same class Θ_c .

c-Transversal Class

The values of the control are identified by the c -transversal extremal through the feedback only on I_c but it may happen that the same holds true on the whole interval I .

Definition

A c -transversal class Θ_c is **singular** if for every $(\lambda, \zeta) \in \Theta_c$ in the class we have that

$$v(t) = -R^{-1}(t)(\lambda(t)B(t) + Q(t)\zeta(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [a, b] \setminus I_c$$

c-Transversal Class and Critical Points

A c -transversal class Θ_c represents an $(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V}_c$, but only some $(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V}_c$, are represented by a c -transversal class

Theorem

For $c \in [0, 1]$, $(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V}_c$ is a critical point for J_c if and only if it is represented by c -transversal class Θ_c , moreover $(\alpha, v) \in \mathcal{V}_c$ is non-zero if and only if c -transversal class Θ_c is non trivial

c-Transversal Class

We can reformulate Theorem 4 and 5 in Hamiltonian terms

Theorem

The quadratic form J is positive definite if and only if J_0 is positive definite and there exist no non-trivial c -transversal class.

c-Transversal Class

We can reformulate Theorem 4 and 5 in Hamiltonian terms

Theorem

The quadratic form J is positive definite if and only if J_0 is positive definite and there exist no non-trivial c -transversal class.

Theorem

The quadratic form J is positive semi-definite if and only if J_0 is positive semi-definite and every non-trivial c -transversal class is singular.

Separate Boundary Conditions

Let us examine the classical case of separate boundary condition on the two end-points of the trajectory that is the case when we can write $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k_2}$ with $k_1 + k_2 = k$ and

$$N_a \alpha = ({}^s N_a \ 0) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = {}^s N_a \alpha_1, \quad N_b \alpha = (0 \ {}^s N_b) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = {}^s N_b \alpha_2,$$

and the end-point cost is

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_a & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma[\alpha]^2 = \Gamma_a[\alpha_1]^2 + \Gamma_b[\alpha_2]^2$$

Separate Boundary Conditions

Necessary conditions

Let

$$W_0 = W_{0a} \oplus W_{0b} := \ker N_a \oplus \ker N_b$$

clearly

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \{(\alpha, \beta, 0) \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in W_0\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$$

Assumption

$$W_{0a} = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad W_{0b} = \{0\}$$

Separate Boundary Conditions

The above assumption as an interesting consequence

- N_a admits a left inverse N_a^\sharp such that $N_a^\sharp N_b = Id_{\mathbb{R}^h}$.
- N_b admits a left inverse N_b^\sharp such that $N_b^\sharp N_b = Id_{\mathbb{R}^k}$.

Separate Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions on I_c become

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(a_c) &\in \text{Im } {}^sN_a, & \zeta(b_c) &\in \text{Im } {}^sN_b \\ \Gamma_a {}^sN_a^\# \zeta(a_c) &= -\lambda(a_c) {}^sN_a & \Gamma_b {}^sN_b^\# \zeta(b_c) &= \lambda(b_c) {}^sN_b\end{aligned}$$

Separate Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions on I_c become

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(a_c) &\in \text{Im } {}^sN_a, & \zeta(b_c) &\in \text{Im } {}^sN_b \\ \Gamma_a {}^sN_a^\# \zeta(a_c) &= -\lambda(a_c) {}^sN_a & \Gamma_b {}^sN_b^\# \zeta(b_c) &= \lambda(b_c) {}^sN_b\end{aligned}$$

Initial and Final Subspaces

$$\begin{aligned}L_a &= \left\{ \left(-\Gamma_a ({}^sN_a^\# x, {}^sN_a^\# (\cdot)) + \sigma_a, x \right) \mid x \in \text{Im } {}^sN_a, \sigma_a \in (\text{Im } {}^sN_a)^\perp \right\} \\ L_b &= \left\{ \left(\Gamma_b ({}^sN_b^\# y, {}^sN_b^\# (\cdot)) + \sigma_b, y \right) \mid y \in \text{Im } {}^sN_b, \sigma_b \in (\text{Im } {}^sN_b)^\perp \right\}\end{aligned}$$

which are Lagrangian subspaces

c-Transversal Extremal

c- transversal extremals

For $c \in [0, 1]$ we will call **c-transversal extremal** a solution of the Jacobi system satisfying

$$(\lambda(a_c), \zeta(a_c)) \in L_a, (\lambda(b_c), \zeta(b_c)) \in L_b$$

or, equivalently

$$\mathcal{H}_{(a_c, b_c)}(\lambda(a_c), \zeta(a_c)) \in L_b,$$

or, equivalently

$$\mathcal{H}_{(b_c, a_c)}(\lambda(b_c), \zeta(b_c)) \in L_a$$

The c-transversal extremal will be said **non trivial** if $\zeta \neq 0$ on I_c .

The Riccati Equation

Let us consider the Riccati differential equation

The Riccati Equation

$$\dot{W}(t) = \left(Q^*(t) + W(t)B(t) \right) R^{-1}(t) \left(B^*(t)W(t) + Q(t) \right)$$

Where W is a time dependent quadratic form

$$W : \mathbb{R}^n \times I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

Thanks to its special structure if W is a solution then also W^* is a solution. Where W^* is defined by

$$\langle W^*x, y \rangle = \langle Wy, x \rangle$$

The Riccati Equation - Fixed-Free

Let us now consider the following boundary conditions

$$\xi(a) = 0, \quad \xi(b) = {}^sN_b \alpha_2$$

where we assume that $\text{Im } {}^sN_b = \mathbb{R}^n$ hence from our assumptions it follows that $N_a \equiv 0 : \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and N_b is invertible.

The Riccati Equation - Fixed-Free

Let us now consider the following boundary conditions

$$\xi(a) = 0, \quad \xi(b) = {}^sN_b \alpha_2$$

where we assume that $\text{Im } {}^sN_b = \mathbb{R}^n$ hence from our assumptions it follows that $N_a \equiv 0 : \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and N_b is invertible.

Initial and Final Lagrangian Subspaces

$$L_a = \{(\sigma_a, 0) \mid \sigma_a \in \mathbb{R}^{n*}\}$$
$$L_b = \left\{ \left(\Gamma_b({}^sN_b^{-1}x, {}^sN_b^{-1}(\cdot)), x \right) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

The Riccati Equation

Theorem

The form J is positive definite if and only if there exists a symmetric solution of the Riccati equation on $[a, b]$

$$W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n*})$$

such that

$$W(b) = \Gamma_b \left({}^s N_b^{-1}(\cdot), {}^s N_b^{-1}(\cdot) \right)$$

The Riccati Equation - Subspace-Free

Let us now consider the following boundary conditions

$$\xi(a) = {}^sN_a\alpha_1, \quad \xi(b) = {}^sN_b\alpha_2$$

where we assume that $\text{Im } {}^sN_b = \mathbb{R}^n$ hence from our assumptions it follows that N_a is injective and N_b is invertible.

The Riccati Equation - Subspace-Free

Let us now consider the following boundary conditions

$$\xi(a) = {}^sN_a\alpha_1, \quad \xi(b) = {}^sN_b\alpha_2$$

where we assume that $\text{Im } {}^sN_b = \mathbb{R}^n$ hence from our assumptions it follows that N_a is injective and N_b is invertible.

Initial and Final Lagrangian Manifolds

$$L_a = \left\{ \left(-\Gamma_a({}^sN_a^\#x, {}^sN_a^\#(\cdot)) + \sigma_a, x \right) \mid x \in \text{Im } {}^sN_a, \sigma_a \in (\text{Im } {}^sN_a)^\perp \right\}$$
$$L_b = \left\{ \left(\Gamma_b({}^sN_b^{-1}x, {}^sN_b^{-1}(\cdot)), x \right) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

To reduce this problem to the previous we consider the sub-problem obtained by taking $\xi(a) = 0$ or, in other words let us consider the restriction of J to the subspace

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \{(0, \alpha_2, v) \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

The Riccati Equation

Lemma

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, v) \in \mathcal{V}_1^{\perp J}$ if and only if there is a solution (λ, ζ) of the Jacobi system with boundary conditions

$$\zeta(a) = {}^s N_a \alpha_1, \zeta(b) = N_b \alpha_2$$

$$\eta(b) = \Gamma_b(\alpha_2, N_b^{-1}(\cdot))$$

and such that

$$v(t) = -R^{-1}(t)(Q(t)\zeta(t) + \lambda(t)B(t))$$

Theorem

The form J is positive definite if and only if there exists a symmetric solution of the Riccati equation on $[a, b]$

$$W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n*})$$

such that

$$W(b) = \Gamma_b \left({}^s N_b^{-1}(\cdot), {}^s N_b^{-1}(\cdot) \right) \quad (2)$$

$${}^s N_a^* W(a) {}^s N_a + \Gamma_a > 0 \quad (3)$$

To tackle the final general case we use the following Theorem by M. Hestenes

Theorem

Let J be a Legendre form and let $K(x)$ be a w -continuous form with the property that $J(x) > 0$ whenever $K(x) = 0$ and $x \neq 0$. Then there is a number β such that $J(x) + \frac{1}{2}\beta K(x)$ is positive definite. If $K(x) \geq 0$ whenever $J(x) \leq 0$, the number β can be chosen to be positive.

Hence we can add a penalty term to have a problem with a free final point.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ bas for $\text{Im } {}^s N_b^\perp$, and define

$$\Lambda[\xi(b)]^2 := \frac{1}{2} \beta \sum_{i=1}^r \omega_i \otimes \omega_i [\xi(b)]^2$$

Back to the initial example $N_0 := \{x_0\}$, $N_T := M$

The extended second variation

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{ext}}[(\varepsilon_0, \delta x, \varepsilon_1, v)]^2 &= \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{2} \left(L_k^2 c(\widehat{x}_b) + H_{12}(\widehat{\ell}_1) \right) - \varepsilon_1 L_{\delta x} L_{f_1} c(\widehat{x}_b) - \frac{\varepsilon_1^2}{2} L_{f_1}^2 c(\widehat{x}_b) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \{ 2v(t) L_{\zeta(t)} L_{\dot{g}_t} c(\widehat{x}_b) + v(t)^2 R(t) \} dt \end{aligned}$$

Back to the initial example $N_0 := \{x_0\}$, $N_T := M$

The extended second variation

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{ext}}[(\varepsilon_0, \delta x, \varepsilon_1, v)]^2 &= \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{2} \left(L_k^2 c(\widehat{x}_b) + H_{12}(\widehat{\ell}_1) \right) - \varepsilon_1 L_{\delta x} L_{f_1} c(\widehat{x}_b) - \frac{\varepsilon_1^2}{2} L_{f_1}^2 c(\widehat{x}_b) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \{ 2v(t) L_{\zeta(t)} L_{\dot{g}_t} c(\widehat{x}_b) + v(t)^2 R(t) \} dt \end{aligned}$$

subject to

$$\dot{\zeta}(t) = v(t) \dot{g}_t(\widehat{x}_b), \quad \zeta(a) = \varepsilon_0 k(\widehat{x}_b), \quad \zeta(b) = \delta x + \varepsilon_1 f_1(\widehat{x}_b)$$

Back to the initial example $N_0 := \{x_0\}$, $N_T := M$

The *admissible variations* are given by
 $(\varepsilon_0, \delta x, \varepsilon_1, v) \in T_{\hat{x}_b} M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times L^2([a, b])$ such that

$$\int_a^b \{v(t) \dot{g}_t(\hat{x}_b)\} dt - \delta x - \varepsilon_1 f_1(\hat{x}_b) + \varepsilon_0 k(\hat{x}_b) = 0$$

Assumption

Assume

$$H_{12}(\hat{\ell}_1) > 0$$

Positivity of J_{ext}

Left end-point. $\alpha_1 := \varepsilon_0$

$${}^s N_a \alpha_1 = \varepsilon_0 k(\widehat{x}_b), \quad \mathbb{R}^{k_1} = \mathbb{R}$$

Decouplig

$$\ker {}^s N_a = W_{0a} = \{\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R} : \varepsilon_0 k(\widehat{x}_b) = 0\} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k(\widehat{x}_b) = 0 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } k(\widehat{x}_b) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Positivity of J_{ext}

$$\Gamma_a \alpha_1 = \varepsilon_0^2 \left(L_k^2 c(\hat{x}_b) + H_{12}(\hat{\ell}_1) \right)$$

Since $H_{12}(\hat{\ell}_1) > 0$ then

Decoupling

$$Z_{0a} = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } k(\hat{x}_b) = 0 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } k(\hat{x}_b) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$Z_{1a} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k(\hat{x}_b) = 0 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } k(\hat{x}_b) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Positivity of J_{ext}

Necessary conditions for positive definiteness

$$\Gamma_{a|W_{0a}} > 0 \quad \text{always}$$

Positivity of J_{ext}

Right end-point. $\alpha_2 = (\delta x, \varepsilon_1)$

$$N_b \alpha_2 = \delta x + \varepsilon_1 f_1(\hat{x}_b), \quad \mathbb{R}^{k_2} = T_{\hat{x}_b} M \times \mathbb{R}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ker {}^s N_b &= W_{0b} = \{(\delta x, \varepsilon_1) \in T_{\hat{x}_b} M \times \mathbb{R} : \delta x + \varepsilon_1 f_1(\hat{x}_b) = 0\} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \{0\} \times \mathbb{R} & \text{if } f_1(\hat{x}_b) = 0 \\ \text{span}\{-f_1(\hat{x}_b), 1\} & \text{if } f_1(\hat{x}_b) \neq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Positivity of J_{ext}

$$\Gamma_b \alpha_2 = -\varepsilon_1 L_{\delta x} L_{f_1} c(\hat{x}_b) - \frac{\varepsilon_1^2}{2} L_{f_1}^2 c(\hat{x}_b)$$

- when $f_1(\hat{x}_b) = 0$ we have $\Gamma_b \alpha_2 = 0$
- when $f_1(\hat{x}_b) \neq 0$ we have

$$\Gamma_b [(-f_1(\hat{x}_b), 1)]^2 = L_{f_1}^2 c(\hat{x}_b)$$

and hence we must assume $L_{f_1}^2 c(\hat{x}_b) > 0$

Positivity of J_{ext}

$$Z_{0b} = \begin{cases} \{0\} \times \mathbb{R} & \text{if } f_1(\hat{x}_b) = 0 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } f_1(\hat{x}_b) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$Z_{1b} = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } f_1(\hat{x}_b) = 0 \\ \text{span}\{(-f_1(\hat{x}_b), 1)\} & \text{if } f_1(\hat{x}_b) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Positivity of J_{ext}

Necessary conditions for positive definiteness

- when $f_1(\hat{x}_b) = 0$ they are NOT satisfied
- when $f_1(\hat{x}_b) \neq 0$ we have that $\Gamma_{b|W_{0b}} > 0$ assuming $L_{f_1}^2 c(\hat{x}_b) > 0$

Positivity of J_{ext} - Decoupling

- If $k(\hat{x}_b) = 0$ then $Z_{0a} = \{0\}$ and $Z_{1a} = W_{0a} = \mathbb{R}$ and $W_{1a} = \{0\}$
In this case the boundary condition $\zeta(a) = \varepsilon_0 k(\hat{x}_b)$, does not depend on ε_0 and we can decouple the quadratic part in ε_0

Positivity of $J_{\text{ext}} = J_{\text{ext}}^1 + J_{\text{ext}}^2$ - Decoupling

Decoupling

$$J_{\text{ext}}^1[\varepsilon_0]^2 = \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{2} H_{12}(\hat{\ell}_1) > 0$$

$$J_{\text{ext}}^2[(\delta x, \varepsilon_1, w)]^2 = -\varepsilon_1 L_{\delta x} L_{f_1} c(\hat{x}_b) - \frac{\varepsilon_1^2}{2} L_{f_1}^2 c(\hat{x}_b) + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \{2v(t) L_{\zeta(t)} L_{\dot{g}_t} c(\hat{x}_b) + v(t)^2 R(t)\} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{\zeta}(t) = v(t) \dot{g}_t(\hat{x}_b), \quad \zeta(a) = 0, \quad \zeta(b) = \delta x + \varepsilon_1 f_1(\hat{x}_b)$$

Positivity of J_{ext} - Decoupling

- If $k(\hat{x}_b) \neq 0$ then $Z_{0a} = Z_{1a} = W_{0a} = \{0\}$ and decoupling is not needed.

Positivity of J_{ext} - Decoupling

- If $f_1(\hat{x}_b) = 0$ then $Z_{0a} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and J_{ext} cannot be positive

Positivity of J_{ext} - Decoupling

- If $f_1(\hat{x}_b) \neq 0$ we assume $L_{f_1}^2 c(\hat{x}_b) > 0$ then $Z_{0b} = \{0\}$ and $Z_{1b} = W_{0b} = \text{span}\{(-f_1(\hat{x}_b), 1)\}$

$$W_{1b} = \{(\delta x, \varepsilon_1) : L_{\delta x} L_{f_1} c(\hat{x}_b) = 0, \varepsilon_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Positivity of J_{ext} - Decoupling

Decoupling

$$J_{\text{ext}}^1[\varepsilon_1]^2 = \frac{\varepsilon_1^2}{2} L_{f_1}^2 c(\hat{x}_b) > 0$$

$$J_{\text{ext}}^2[(\varepsilon_0, \delta x, v)]^2 = \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{2} \left(L_k^2 c(\hat{x}_b) + H_{12}(\hat{\ell}_1) \right) - \frac{(L_{\delta x} L_{f_1} c(\hat{x}_b))^2}{2L_{f_1}^2 c(\hat{x}_b)}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \{ 2v(t) L_{\zeta(t)} L_{\dot{g}_t} c(\hat{x}_b) + v(t)^2 R(t) \} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{\zeta}(t) = v(t) \dot{g}_t(\hat{x}_b), \quad \zeta(a) = \varepsilon_0 k(\hat{x}_b), \quad \zeta(b) = \delta x$$

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION